

2018/17

## ELMLEY CASTLE, BRICKLEHAMPTON & NETHERTON PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes from a planning meeting of Elmley Castle, Bricklehampton and Netherton Parish Council, held in the village hall on Thursday 26<sup>th</sup> July 2018 at 6.45pm

1. **PRESENT:** Chairman M Axtell-Powell                      Cllr D Thompson                      Cllr J Douglas  
                    Vice Chairman K Mackison                      Cllr G Hickey                      Cllr G Mackison  
                    Clerk L Yapp
  
2. **APOLOGIES** were received from Cllrs J Strong, S Speed, K Lewis, A Steel and E Stephens
  
3. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST** – Cllrs Axtell-Powell & Thompson – Planning application 18/01394
  
4. **PLANNING:**
  - 18/01336 – New Road, Bricklehampton – ground floor rear extension to form summer room, and ground floor extension to form utility room and home office – there were no objections to this application, proposed Cllr Hickey, seconded Cllr K Mackison – all in favour
  - 18/01309 – 3 Kersoe Cottage. Kersoe – Single storey addition to provide larger living room to facilitate manoeuvring with a wheelchair and a level access threshold for easy access to the garden – there were no objection to this application, although Cllr Axtell-Powell would make enquiries to ensure that the proposed extension would not have any impact on the neighbouring right of way. Proposed Cllr Thompson, seconded Cllr G Mackison – all in favour  
*Cllrs Thompson and Axtell-Powell left the meeting and took no part in decision making, other than a brief outline of the proposals – 18/01394*
  - 18/01394 – Summerwood. 3 Hill Mews, Hill Lane – Amendments to previously approved application 15/01448 – no objections, proposed Cllr Hickey, seconded Cllr Douglas – all in favour  
*Cllrs Thompson and Axtell-Powell re-joined the meeting*
  - 18/01409/GDPQ – Hall Farm, Bricklehampton – notification for prior approval for a proposed change of use of agricultural building to 3 x dwelling houses (Class 3) - Cllr Mackison explained the meaning of GDP, and the fact that redundant agricultural buildings can be converted to dwelling houses (with certain conditions) under GDP. It was agreed that whilst there had been no formal request from WDC for comments, there were no objections to the proposals contained in this application